Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 05613 160036Z

64

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 IO-10 ISO-00 CU-02 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 H-02 INR-07

L-03 NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-04 PRS-01 SAJ-01

SAM-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 TRSE-00 DODE-00 NSC-05

ACDA-05 /086 W

----- 120112

PR 151730Z OCT 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 4037

INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS 5542

AMEMBASSY BELGRADE

AMEMBASSY BERLIN

AMEMBASSY BERN

AMEMBASSY BUCHAREST

AMEMBASSY BUDAPEST

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

AMEMBASSY HELSINKI

AMEMBASSY MADRID

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY NICOSIA

AMEMBASSY PRAGUE

AMEMBASSY SOFIA

AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM

AMEMBASSY VALLETTA

AMEMBASSY VIENNA

AMEMBASSY WARSAW

USMISSION USBERLIN

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

USMISSION GENEVA

USMISSION OECD PARIS

AMCONSUL STRASBOURG

CONFIDENTIAL USNATO 5613

E. O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, NATO, CSCE

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 05613 160036Z

SUBJ: OCTOBER 14 POLADS: CSCE IMPLEMENTATION

REF: A) USNATO 5325; B)) STATE 239977; C) STATE 241614

- 1. POLAD'S OCTOBER 14 DISCUSSION OF CSCE IMPLEMENTATION CONCENTRATED ON CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION WITH NEUTRALS. US REP (LEDOGAR), DRAWING EXTENSIVELY ON REF B, TOLD ALLIES OF ACTION IN THIS AREA CINTEMPLATED BY US. US REP ASKED FOR ALLIES' REACTIONS TO US APPROACH AND URGED THEM TO TAKE SIMILAR STEPS TO ENLIST SUPPORTOF NEUTRALS AND NON-ALIGNED. IN RESPONSE TO REQUEST, US REP AGREED TO DISTRIBUTE WRITTEN OUTLINE OF KEY ELEMENTS IN US APPROACH TO NEUTRALS.
- 2. ITALIAN REP (FERRETTI), SPEAKING PERSONALLY, WELCOMED THE US APPROACH, FOUND IT WELL CONCEIVED AND PRESENTE, AND BELIEVED ITALY WOULD ACT IN A SIMILAR VEIN. HE EMPHASIZED ROME'S INTEREST IN KEEPING NEUTRALS AND NON-ALIGNED CLOSE TO ALLIED POSITIONS AS THEY WERE DURING GENEVA PHASE. ITALIAN REP THOUGHT, GIVEN ITS BASIC WESTERN ORIENTATION AND EC MEMBERSHIP, NON-ALIGNED IRELAND COULD BE EXPECTED TO SHARE ALLIED VIEWS ON CSCE IMPLEMENTATION. HE SAID ITALY IS ALSO WORKING BILLATERALLY WITH NEUTRAL EUROPEAN NEIGHBORS (YUGO-SLAVIA, AUSTRIA AND SWITZERLAND) TO SEEK THEIR CONTINUING SUPPORT FOR WESTERN CSCE POSITIONS.
- 3. IN DISCUSSING COUNCIL OF EUROPE CONSIDERATION OF CSCE IMPLEMENTATION, US REP DREW ON REF C AND SUGGESTED THAT MEETING OFFERED FURTHER OPPORTUNITY FOR COORDINATION WITH NEUTRALS.
- 4. IN ONLY REFERENCE TO PREVIOUS POLADS DISCUSSION ON ORGANIZING FOR CSCE FOLLOW-UP, DANISH REP (MORCH) SAID HIS AUTHORITIES HAD COMMENTED FAVORABLY ON US CHECKLIST DISTRIBUTED TO ALLIES ON SEPTEMBER 30 (REF A). WHILE DANES THOUGHT US LIST WAS AN EXCELLENT APPROACH, THEY NOTED THAT IT WAS TAILORED MORE TO CONCERNS AND CAPABILITIES OF LARGE POWERS LIKE US RATHER THAN TO THOSE OF SMALLER ALLIES.STREATOR

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 18 AUG 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 15 OCT 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: greeneet
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975NATO05613

Document Number: 1975NATO05613
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: NATO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t197510101/abbrzmnu.tel Line Count: 90

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: n/a

Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 2

Previous Channel Indicators:

Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A) USNATO 5325; B)) STATE 239977; C) STATE 241614
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: greeneet
Review Comment: n/a

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 10 APR 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <10 APR 2003 by SmithRJ>; APPROVED <16 SEP 2003 by greeneet>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: OCTOBER 14 POLADS: CSCE IMPLEMENTATION

TAGS: PFOR, NATO, CSCE
To: STATE INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS **BELGRADE**

BERLIN BERN BUCHAREST **BUDAPEST**

DUBLIN
HELSINKI
MADRID
MOSCOW
NICOSIA
PRAGUE
SOFIA
STOCKHOLM
VALLETTA
VIENNA
WARSAW
USBERLIN
EC BRUSSELS
GENEVA
OECD PARIS
STRASBOURG
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006